

4.3 Linearly Independent Sets. Bases.

Consider the following vectors:

Example 1. Vector space $\mathbb{R}^2$.

$$\vec{v}_1 = \begin{bmatrix} 1 \\ 0 \end{bmatrix}, \quad \vec{v}_2 = \begin{bmatrix} 2 \\ 1 \end{bmatrix}, \quad \vec{v}_3 = \begin{bmatrix} 4 \\ 3 \end{bmatrix}, \quad \vec{v}_4 = \begin{bmatrix} 0 \\ -2 \end{bmatrix}$$

Example 2. Vector space $\mathbb{P}_2$

$$\vec{p}_1 = 3 + x + x^2, \quad \vec{p}_2 = 2 - x + 5x^2, \quad \vec{p}_3 = 4 - 3x^2.$$

For the set of vectors in example 1, we know how to determine if they are linearly independent or not.

For this, we consider the linear combination

$$C_1 \vec{v}_1 + C_2 \vec{v}_2 + C_3 \vec{v}_3 + C_4 \vec{v}_4 \text{ and equal to } \vec{0} \text{ it}$$
$$C_1 \begin{bmatrix} 1 \\ 0 \end{bmatrix} + C_2 \begin{bmatrix} 2 \\ 1 \end{bmatrix} + C_3 \begin{bmatrix} 4 \\ 3 \end{bmatrix} + C_4 \begin{bmatrix} 0 \\ -2 \end{bmatrix} = \begin{bmatrix} 0 \\ 0 \end{bmatrix} \quad (1.1)$$

Then, we determine the values of the weights or coefficients

C_1, C_2, C_3, C_4 . If they are all zeros then

the set $S = \{\vec{v}_1, \vec{v}_2, \vec{v}_3, \vec{v}_4\}$ is linearly independent.

Otherwise, the set S is linearly dependent.

We can proceed with equation (1.1) to determine c_1, c_4 . In fact, (1.1) leads to

$$\begin{bmatrix} 1 & 2 & 4 & 0 \\ 0 & 1 & 3 & -2 \end{bmatrix} \begin{bmatrix} c_1 \\ c_2 \\ c_3 \\ c_4 \end{bmatrix} = \begin{bmatrix} 0 \\ 0 \end{bmatrix}$$

This is the same matrix equation that we studied in Section 4.2. Its augmented matrix can be row-reduced as

$$\begin{bmatrix} 1 & 2 & 4 & 0 & 0 \\ 0 & 1 & 3 & -2 & 0 \end{bmatrix} \sim \begin{bmatrix} 1 & 0 & -2 & 4 & 0 \\ 0 & 1 & 3 & -2 & 0 \end{bmatrix}$$

Therefore,
$$\begin{cases} c_1 = 2c_3 - 4c_4 \\ c_2 = -3c_3 + 2c_4 \\ c_3, c_4 \text{ are free variables} \end{cases} \quad (2.1)$$

It means c_3 and c_4 can take any real value. As a consequence, the set S is linearly dependent.

This was expected, because we know already that two vectors in $\mathbb{R}^2$ not in the same line span $\mathbb{R}^2$.

In this case, we have two more vectors than needed to span $\mathbb{R}^2$.

The concepts of linear independence and linear dependence can easily be extended to general vector spaces^{such} as the space of polynomials $\mathbb{P}_2$ of degree ≤ 2 .

Def. - The set of vectors $S = \{\vec{v}_1, \dots, \vec{v}_p\}$ of a general vector space V are linearly independent if

$$C_1 \vec{v}_1 + C_2 \vec{v}_2 + \dots + C_p \vec{v}_p = \vec{0}$$

implies $C_1 = 0, \dots, C_p = 0$.

Otherwise, if any of the coefficients $C_1, \dots, C_p$ is nonzero, the set S is linearly dependent.

So, to determine that the set of polynomials of degree ≤ 2 given in example 2 is linearly independent or not, we consider the linear combination:

$$C_1 \vec{p}_1 + C_2 \vec{p}_2 + C_3 \vec{p}_3 = \vec{0}$$

or

$$\begin{aligned} C_1 (3+x+x^2) + C_2 (2-x+5x^2) + C_3 (4-3x^2) &= \vec{0} \\ &= 0 + 0x + 0x^2 \end{aligned}$$

or

$$3C_1 + 2C_2 + 4C_3 + (C_1 - C_2)x + (C_1 + 5C_2 - 3C_3)x^2 = 0 + 0x + 0x^2.$$

Which leads to the linear system of equations (*)

$$\begin{cases} 3C_1 + 2C_2 + 4C_3 = 0 \\ C_1 - C_2 = 0 \\ C_1 + 5C_2 - 3C_3 = 0 \end{cases}$$

In matrix form:

$$\begin{bmatrix} 3 & 2 & 4 \\ 1 & -1 & 0 \\ 1 & 5 & -3 \end{bmatrix} \begin{bmatrix} C_1 \\ C_2 \\ C_3 \end{bmatrix} = \begin{bmatrix} 0 \\ 0 \\ 0 \end{bmatrix}$$

Augmented matrix

$$\begin{bmatrix} 3 & 2 & 4 & 0 \\ 1 & -1 & 0 & 0 \\ 1 & 5 & -3 & 0 \end{bmatrix} \sim \begin{bmatrix} 1 & 0 & 0 & 0 \\ 0 & 1 & 0 & 0 \\ 0 & 0 & 1 & 0 \end{bmatrix} \quad (4.1)$$

Therefore, $C_1 = 0, C_2 = 0, C_3 = 0$

And the set $S = \{\vec{p}_1, \vec{p}_2, \vec{p}_3\} =$

$$= \{3 + x + x^2, 2 - x + 5x^2, 4 - 3x^2\}$$

is linearly independent.

(*) According to the fundamental thm of algebra a polynomial of degree 2 cannot have more than two roots unless it is the zero polynomial.

Remarks: These statements are immediate consequences of definition.

- i) If $\vec{v} \in V$ is such that $\vec{v} \neq \vec{0}$, then the set $S = \{\vec{v}\}$ is linearly independent.
- ii) A set ^{of two vectors} $S = \{\vec{v}, \vec{u}\} \subset V$ is linearly dependent if and only if one of the two vectors is multiple of the other.
- iii) If $\vec{0} \in S$, then S is linearly dependent.

Theorem 4. V is a vector space

A set $S = \{\vec{v}_1, \vec{v}_2, \dots, \vec{v}_p\}$, with $\vec{v}_1 \neq \vec{0}$ is linearly dependent if and only if there exists

$\vec{v}_j$ ($j > 1$) such that $\vec{v}_j = c_1 \vec{v}_1 + c_2 \vec{v}_2 + \dots + c_{j-1} \vec{v}_{j-1}$.

Proof. ($\Rightarrow$) If S is linearly dependent, then there exists a linear combination

$$c_1 \vec{v}_1 + c_2 \vec{v}_2 + \dots + c_{j-1} \vec{v}_{j-1} + c_j \vec{v}_j + \overset{=0}{c_{j+1}} \vec{v}_{j+1} + \dots + \overset{=0}{c_p} \vec{v}_p = \vec{0} \quad (5.1)$$

where not all the coefficients are zero.

Let j be the largest subscript for which $c_j \neq 0$

Obviously, $j > 1$ if not $c_1 \vec{v}_1 = 0$, which is impossible because $c_1 \neq 0$ and $\vec{v}_1 \neq 0$.

Therefore, Solving for $\vec{v}_j$ in equation (5.1) results

$$\vec{v}_j = -\frac{c_1}{c_j} \vec{v}_1 - \frac{c_2}{c_j} \vec{v}_2 - \dots - \frac{c_{j-1}}{c_j} \vec{v}_{j-1}$$

and $\vec{v}_j$ is a linear combination of its preceding Vectors.

($\leftarrow$) If $\vec{v}_j = c_1 \vec{v}_1 + \dots + c_{j-1} \vec{v}_{j-1}$

then $c_1 \vec{v}_1 + \dots + c_{j-1} \vec{v}_{j-1} - \vec{v}_j = \vec{0}$

As a consequence, S is linearly dependent.

The important concept in this section is the concept of a basis for a Vector Space V .

Def:- $H \subset V$ is a Subspace of V .

The set $B = \{\vec{b}_1, \vec{b}_2, \dots, \vec{b}_p\}$ is a basis for H if

i) B is a linearly independent set.

ii) $\text{Span}(B) = H$.

Examples:

i) The Set $B = \{\hat{e}_1, \hat{e}_2\} = \left\{ \begin{bmatrix} 1 \\ 0 \end{bmatrix}, \begin{bmatrix} 0 \\ 1 \end{bmatrix} \right\} \subset \mathbb{R}^2$

is a basis for $\mathbb{R}^2$. They are clearly linearly indep.

and they span $\mathbb{R}^2$, because any vector

$\hat{x} = \begin{bmatrix} x \\ y \end{bmatrix} \in \mathbb{R}^2$ can be written as $\hat{x} = x\hat{e}_1 + y\hat{e}_2$.

So any $\hat{x} \in \mathbb{R}^2$ is a linear combination of $\hat{e}_1$ and $\hat{e}_2$.

ii) Similarly,

$B = \left\{ \begin{bmatrix} 1 \\ 0 \\ \vdots \\ 0 \end{bmatrix}, \begin{bmatrix} 0 \\ 1 \\ \vdots \\ 0 \end{bmatrix}, \dots, \begin{bmatrix} 0 \\ 0 \\ \vdots \\ 1 \end{bmatrix} \right\}$ is a basis for $\mathbb{R}^n$.

This basis is called the Standard basis for $\mathbb{R}^n$.

iii) Consider $\mathbb{P}_n$ (polynomials of degree $\leq n$).

$B = \{1, x, x^2, \dots, x^n\} \subset \mathbb{P}_n$ is a basis for $\mathbb{P}_n$.
(STANDARD BASIS)

It spans $\mathbb{P}_n$, because any polynomial in $\mathbb{P}_n$

can be written as

$$p(x) = a_0 + a_1x + \dots + a_nx^n$$

It's also linearly indep. because the only possibility for $\begin{pmatrix} \text{Fundam.} \\ \text{Thm Algebra} \end{pmatrix}$

$c_0 \cdot 1 + c_1x + \dots + c_nx^n = 0(x) = 0 \cdot 1 + 0 \cdot x + \dots + 0 \cdot x^n$ is $c_0 = 0, c_1 = 0, \dots, c_n = 0$.

Remarks:

i) Any Set of n linearly independent vectors in $\mathbb{R}^n$

$$B = \{ \vec{v}_1, \vec{v}_2, \dots, \vec{v}_n \} \subset \mathbb{R}^n \text{ is a basis for } \mathbb{R}^n.$$

We only need to prove that B spans $\mathbb{R}^n$.

But, this is trivially true from the invertible matrix theorem because if we define the matrix A as

$$A = [\vec{v}_1 \ \vec{v}_2 \ \dots \ \vec{v}_n]$$

then any $\vec{b} \in \mathbb{R}^n$ is a linear combination of $\vec{v}_1, \dots, \vec{v}_n$ because the linear system

$$A\vec{x} = \vec{b}, \text{ always has a solution.}$$

ii) The set of polynomials

$$B = \{ \vec{p}_1, \vec{p}_2, \vec{p}_3 \} = \{ 3+x+x^2, 2-x+5x^2, 4-3x^2 \} \subset \mathbb{P}_2$$

is a basis for $\mathbb{P}_2$.

We already proved that B is linearly independent.

Prove that $\text{Span}(B) = \mathbb{P}_2$.

Show

$$\text{Span}(B) = \mathbb{P}_2$$

Consider an arbitrary polynomial $\vec{q} \in \mathbb{P}_2$

$$\vec{q} = d_0 + d_1 x + d_2 x^2, \quad d_0, d_1, d_2 \in \mathbb{R}.$$

Want to prove $\vec{q} \in \text{Span}(B)$

Equivalent to find C_1, C_2 and C_3 such that

$$\begin{aligned} C_1 \overset{\vec{p}_1}{(3+x+x^2)} + C_2 \overset{\vec{p}_2}{(2-x+5x^2)} + C_3 \overset{\vec{p}_3}{(4-3x^2)} \\ = d_0 + d_1 x + d_2 x^2 \end{aligned}$$

Which leads to

$$\begin{cases} 3C_1 + 2C_2 + 4C_3 = d_0 \\ C_1 - C_2 = d_1 \\ C_1 + 5C_2 - 3C_3 = d_2 \end{cases}$$

or in matrix form:

$$\begin{bmatrix} 3 & 2 & 4 \\ 1 & -1 & 0 \\ 1 & 5 & -3 \end{bmatrix} \begin{bmatrix} C_1 \\ C_2 \\ C_3 \end{bmatrix} = \begin{bmatrix} d_0 \\ d_1 \\ d_2 \end{bmatrix}$$

$$A \quad \vec{C} = \vec{d}$$

Since $A \sim I_3$ (page 4 Equ. (4.1))

A is invertible and the matrix equation $A\vec{C} = \vec{d}$ has a solution. Therefore, $\vec{q} \in \text{Span}(B)$. Moreover, this solution is unique.

Spanning Set Theorem

Thm 5. $S = \{\vec{v}_1, \dots, \vec{v}_p\} \subset V$ (vector space).

$H = \text{Span}\{\vec{v}_1, \dots, \vec{v}_p\}$. then

a) If $\vec{v}_k \in S$ is a linear combination of the others, i.e.,

$$\vec{v}_k = c_1 \vec{v}_1 + \dots + c_{k-1} \vec{v}_{k-1} + c_{k+1} \vec{v}_{k+1} + \dots + c_p \vec{v}_p$$

then $\text{Span}\{\vec{v}_1, \dots, \vec{v}_{k-1}, \vec{v}_{k+1}, \dots, \vec{v}_p\} = H$.

b) If $H \neq \{\vec{0}\}$ then there is BCH such that B is a basis for H .

Proof. a) We want to prove that any $\vec{x} \in H$ can be written as

$$\vec{x} = d_1 \vec{v}_1 + d_2 \vec{v}_2 + \dots + d_{k-1} \vec{v}_{k-1} + d_{k+1} \vec{v}_{k+1} + \dots + d_p \vec{v}_p \quad (9.1)$$

In fact, $\vec{x} \in H$ if and only if

$$\vec{x} = a_1 \vec{v}_1 + \dots + a_{k-1} \vec{v}_{k-1} + a_k \vec{v}_k + a_{k+1} \vec{v}_{k+1} + \dots + a_p \vec{v}_p$$

$$\begin{aligned}
 \text{or } \vec{x} &= a_1 \vec{v}_1 + \dots + a_{k-1} \vec{v}_{k-1} + \overbrace{a_k (c_1 \vec{v}_1 + \dots + c_{k-1} \vec{v}_{k-1} + c_{k+1} \vec{v}_{k+1} + \dots + c_p \vec{v}_p)}^{a_k \vec{v}_j} \\
 &\quad + a_{k+1} \vec{v}_{k+1} + \dots + a_p \vec{v}_p = \\
 &= (a_1 + a_k c_1) \vec{v}_1 + \dots + (a_{k-1} + a_k c_{k-1}) \vec{v}_{k-1} + (a_{k+1} + a_k c_{k+1}) \vec{v}_{k+1} + \dots \\
 &\quad + \dots + (a_p + a_k c_p) \vec{v}_p
 \end{aligned}$$

by renaming $a_i + a_k c_i = d_i$, $i = 1, \dots, k-1, k+1, \dots, p$.

We obtain (9.1), and $\vec{x} \in \text{Span}\{\vec{v}_1, \vec{v}_2, \dots, \vec{v}_{k-1}, \vec{v}_{k+1}, \dots, \vec{v}_p\}$

b) If the spanning set of H , $S = \{\vec{v}_1, \dots, \vec{v}_p\}$ is linearly independent then S is a basis for H . Otherwise, we use the procedure outlined in part (a) of this theorem. and remove vectors successively until the new set becomes linearly independent. This is possible because $H \neq \{\vec{0}\}$ implies that at least one of $\vec{v}_j \neq \vec{0}$, $j = 1, \dots, p$.

Apply this procedure to our Example 1 and show that $B = \left\{ \begin{bmatrix} 1 \\ 0 \end{bmatrix}, \begin{bmatrix} 2 \\ 1 \end{bmatrix} \right\}$ is a basis for $\text{Col}(A)$ where $A = \begin{bmatrix} 1 & 2 & 4 & 0 \\ 0 & 1 & 3 & -2 \end{bmatrix}$.

Finding a basis for $\text{Null}(A)$.

In Section 4.2, we found: the null space for the matrix

$$B = \begin{bmatrix} 1 & 2 & 4 & 0 \\ 0 & 1 & 3 & -2 \end{bmatrix}$$

To do this, we considered the homogeneous linear system $B\vec{x} = \vec{0}$

row-reduced the augmented matrix and found out that any vector $\vec{x} \in \text{Null}(B)$ is a linear combination of the following vectors in $\mathbb{R}^4$

$$\vec{x} = c_1 \begin{bmatrix} 2 \\ -3 \\ 1 \\ 0 \end{bmatrix} + c_2 \begin{bmatrix} -4 \\ 2 \\ 0 \\ 1 \end{bmatrix}, \quad c_1, c_2 \in \mathbb{R}.$$

It means

$$\text{Null}(B) = \text{Span} \left\{ \overset{=\vec{v}_1}{\begin{bmatrix} 2 \\ -3 \\ 1 \\ 0 \end{bmatrix}}, \overset{=\vec{v}_2}{\begin{bmatrix} -4 \\ 2 \\ 0 \\ 1 \end{bmatrix}} \right\}$$

These ^{two} vectors form a linearly independent set, because they are not multiples of each other. Therefore, the set

$$B = \left\{ \begin{bmatrix} 2 \\ -3 \\ 1 \\ 0 \end{bmatrix}, \begin{bmatrix} -4 \\ 2 \\ 0 \\ 1 \end{bmatrix} \right\} \text{ form a basis for } \text{Null}(B).$$

This procedure followed to find Null(B) always leads to a basis for Null(B) for any matrix B.

Finding a basis for Col(A)

Consider again $B = \begin{matrix} \vec{b}_1 & \vec{b}_2 & \vec{b}_3 & \vec{b}_4 \\ \begin{bmatrix} 1 & 2 & 4 & 0 \\ 0 & 1 & 3 & -2 \end{bmatrix} \end{matrix} \sim \begin{matrix} \vec{b}_1 & \vec{b}_2 & \vec{b}_3 & \vec{b}_4 \\ \begin{bmatrix} 1 & 0 & -2 & 4 \\ 0 & 1 & 3 & -2 \end{bmatrix} \end{matrix} = \tilde{B}$

$$= [\vec{d}_1 \ \vec{d}_2 \ \vec{d}_3 \ \vec{d}_4]$$

Clearly, $\vec{d}_3 = -2\vec{d}_1 + 3\vec{d}_2$

$$\vec{d}_4 = 4\vec{d}_1 - 2\vec{d}_2$$

Moreover, the Set $S = \{\vec{d}_1, \vec{d}_2\} = \left\{ \begin{bmatrix} 1 \\ 0 \end{bmatrix}, \begin{bmatrix} 0 \\ 1 \end{bmatrix} \right\}$ is linearly indep.

An important observation here is that the same linear dependence relationship ^{among the column vectors of $\tilde{B}$} occurs among the column vectors of B . It means

$$\vec{b}_3 = -2\vec{b}_1 + 3\vec{b}_2, \quad \vec{b}_4 = 4\vec{b}_1 - 2\vec{b}_2$$

And also the set $R = \{\vec{b}_1, \vec{b}_2\} = \left\{ \begin{bmatrix} 1 \\ 0 \end{bmatrix}, \begin{bmatrix} 2 \\ 1 \end{bmatrix} \right\}$ is linearly independent.

Why is this true in general?

Therefore,

$$\text{Col}(B) = \text{Span} \{ \vec{b}_1, \vec{b}_2, \vec{b}_3, \vec{b}_4 \}$$

Spanning set thm.

$$\underline{\underline{=}} \text{Span} \{ \vec{b}_1, \vec{b}_2 \}$$

and $R = \{ \vec{b}_1, \vec{b}_2 \}$ is linearly indep.

Therefore, R is a basis for $\text{Col}(B)$.

The procedure applied in the previous example can be applied to any matrix A to find a basis for $\text{Col}(A)$. This procedure is supported by the following thm.

Thm 6 The pivot columns of a matrix A form a basis for $\text{Col}(A)$.

Before proving thm 6, we will prove some lemmas

Important observations about $\text{Nul}(B)$ and $\text{Col}(B)$

$$B = \begin{bmatrix} 1 & 2 & 4 & 0 \\ 0 & 1 & 3 & -2 \end{bmatrix}, \quad B_{2 \times 4}$$

$$\vec{x} \in \text{Nul}(B) \Leftrightarrow B\vec{x} = \vec{0}$$

$$\vec{x} \in \text{Col}(B) \Leftrightarrow \vec{x} \in \text{Span}\left\{ \begin{bmatrix} 1 \\ 0 \end{bmatrix}, \begin{bmatrix} 2 \\ 1 \end{bmatrix}, \begin{bmatrix} 4 \\ 3 \end{bmatrix}, \begin{bmatrix} 0 \\ -2 \end{bmatrix} \right\} \subset \mathbb{R}^2$$

Computation of $\text{Nul}(B)$ Based on the statement
 $B \sim \tilde{B}$ then $B\vec{x} = \vec{0} \Leftrightarrow \tilde{B}\vec{x} = \vec{0}$.

$$\begin{bmatrix} 1 & 2 & 4 & 0 & 0 \\ 0 & 1 & 3 & -2 & 0 \end{bmatrix} \sim \begin{bmatrix} 1 & 0 & -2 & 4 & 0 \\ 0 & 1 & 3 & -2 & 0 \end{bmatrix} \Rightarrow \begin{aligned} x_1 &= 2x_3 - 4x_4 \\ x_2 &= -3x_3 + 2x_4 \\ x_3, x_4 &\text{ free} \end{aligned}$$

Therefore,

$$\vec{x} \in \text{Nul}(B) \Leftrightarrow \vec{x} = \begin{bmatrix} x_1 \\ x_2 \\ x_3 \\ x_4 \end{bmatrix} = \begin{bmatrix} 2x_3 - 4x_4 \\ -3x_3 + 2x_4 \\ x_3 \\ x_4 \end{bmatrix} = x_3 \begin{bmatrix} 2 \\ -3 \\ 1 \\ 0 \end{bmatrix} + x_4 \begin{bmatrix} -4 \\ 2 \\ 0 \\ 1 \end{bmatrix}$$

x_3, x_4 arbitrary real numbers

$$\text{So } \text{Nul}(B) = \text{Span}\left\{ \begin{bmatrix} 2 \\ -3 \\ 1 \\ 0 \end{bmatrix}, \begin{bmatrix} -4 \\ 2 \\ 0 \\ 1 \end{bmatrix} \right\} \subset \mathbb{R}^4$$

If we consider the linear transformation

$$\begin{array}{ccc} & T: \mathbb{R}^4 \rightarrow \mathbb{R}^2 & \\ B_{2 \times 4} \nearrow & \vec{x} \mapsto B\vec{x} & \end{array}$$

We clearly see

Domain $T = \mathbb{R}^4$, $\text{Nul}(B) \subset \text{Dom } T$
 Range $T \subset \mathbb{R}^2$, $\text{Col}(B) = \text{Range } T$

Relationship between $\text{Nul}(A)$ and $\text{Nul}(\tilde{A})$.

Lemma 1. Elementary row operations do not change the null space of a matrix A .

Equivalent to say:

If $A \sim \tilde{A}$ then

$$\boxed{\text{Nul}(A) = \text{Nul}(\tilde{A})}$$

Proof. or $A\tilde{x} = \vec{0} \Leftrightarrow \tilde{A}\tilde{x} = \vec{0}$

If $A \sim \tilde{A}$, then $\tilde{A} = E_r \dots E_1 A$,

(Where E_i ($i=1, \dots, r$) are elementary matrices.

Thus, if $\tilde{x} \in \text{Nul}(A) \Rightarrow A\tilde{x} = \vec{0} \Rightarrow$

$$\tilde{A}\tilde{x} = (E_r \dots E_1 A)\tilde{x} = (E_r E_{r-1} \dots E_1)(A\tilde{x}) = \vec{0}$$

$$\Rightarrow \tilde{x} \in \text{Nul}(\tilde{A}) \Rightarrow \text{Nul}(A) \subset \text{Nul}(\tilde{A})$$

Similarly,

if $\tilde{x} \in \text{Nul}(\tilde{A}) \Rightarrow \tilde{A}\tilde{x} = \vec{0} \Rightarrow$

$$A\tilde{x} = (E_1^{-1} \dots E_r^{-1} \tilde{A})\tilde{x} = (E_1^{-1} \dots E_r^{-1})\tilde{A}\tilde{x} = \vec{0}.$$

$$\Rightarrow \tilde{x} \in \text{Nul}(A) \Rightarrow \text{Nul}(\tilde{A}) \subset \text{Nul}(A).$$

Remark: The practical value of this thm is that to find $\text{Nul}(A)$ for a given matrix A , first row-reduce A to $\tilde{A}$ and then find $\text{Nul}(\tilde{A})$ which is the same as $\text{Nul}(A)$.

PROCEDURE TO FIND A BASIS FOR COL(A).

Consider the matrix $A_{4 \times 5}$

$$A = \begin{bmatrix} \vec{a}_1 & \vec{a}_2 & \vec{a}_3 & \vec{a}_4 & \vec{a}_5 \\ 1 & 2 & 3 & -4 & 8 \\ 1 & 2 & 0 & 2 & 8 \\ 2 & 4 & -3 & 10 & 9 \\ 3 & 6 & 0 & 6 & 9 \end{bmatrix} \sim \begin{bmatrix} \vec{b}_1 & \vec{b}_2 & \vec{b}_3 & \vec{b}_4 & \vec{b}_5 \\ 1 & 2 & 0 & 2 & 5 \\ 0 & 0 & 3 & -6 & 3 \\ 0 & 0 & 0 & 0 & -7 \\ 0 & 0 & 0 & 0 & 0 \end{bmatrix} = \tilde{A}$$

Obviously,

$$\boxed{\text{Col}(A) \neq \text{Col}(\tilde{A})}$$

For instance, $\begin{bmatrix} 1 \\ 1 \\ 2 \\ 3 \end{bmatrix} \notin \text{Col}(\tilde{A})$ why not?

However,

$$\begin{aligned} \vec{b}_2 &= 2\vec{b}_1, & \vec{a}_2 &= 2\vec{a}_1 \\ \vec{b}_4 &= \vec{b}_2 - 2\vec{b}_3, & \vec{a}_4 &= \vec{a}_2 - 2\vec{a}_3 \end{aligned}$$

Also, the Set $\tilde{B} = \left\{ \begin{bmatrix} \vec{b}_1 \\ 1 \\ 0 \\ 0 \\ 0 \end{bmatrix}, \begin{bmatrix} \vec{b}_3 \\ 0 \\ 3 \\ 0 \\ 0 \end{bmatrix}, \begin{bmatrix} \vec{b}_5 \\ 5 \\ 3 \\ -7 \\ 0 \end{bmatrix} \right\}$ is lin. indep.

because none of its vectors is lin. comb. of the preceding ones.

Therefore, $\text{Span}(\tilde{B}) = \text{Col}(\tilde{A})$, and $\tilde{B}$ is a basis for $\text{Col}(\tilde{A})$.

It can be shown that the Set

$$B = \left\{ \begin{bmatrix} \vec{a}_1 \\ 1 \\ 1 \\ 2 \\ 3 \end{bmatrix}, \begin{bmatrix} \vec{a}_3 \\ 3 \\ 0 \\ -3 \\ 0 \end{bmatrix}, \begin{bmatrix} \vec{a}_5 \\ 8 \\ 8 \\ 9 \\ 9 \end{bmatrix} \right\} \text{ is also lin indep.}$$

and $\text{Span}(B) = \text{Col}(A)$. It means B is a basis for $\text{Col}(A)$.

$$A = [\vec{a}_1, \vec{a}_2, \dots, \vec{a}_n], \quad \tilde{A} = [\vec{b}_1, \vec{b}_2, \dots, \vec{b}_n]$$

Lemma 2. If $A \sim \tilde{A}$ then

i) Columns of A have exactly the same linear dependence relationship as the columns of $\tilde{A}$.

For instance,

a) A subset of column vectors of A is linearly independent if the corresponding column vectors of $\tilde{A}$ form a set linearly independent.

ii) A subset of column vectors of A forms a basis for $\text{Col}(A)$ if and only if the corresponding column vectors of $\tilde{A}$ form a basis for $\text{Col}(\tilde{A})$.

Proof. - From lemma 1, $\vec{x} = \begin{bmatrix} x_1 \\ x_2 \\ \vdots \\ x_n \end{bmatrix}$ is a solution of $A\vec{x} = \vec{0}$ or $\boxed{x_1\vec{a}_1 + x_2\vec{a}_2 + \dots + x_n\vec{a}_n = \vec{0}} \quad (16.1)$

if and only if $\vec{x}$ is a solution of

$$\tilde{A}\vec{x} = \vec{0} \quad \text{or} \quad \boxed{x_1\vec{b}_1 + x_2\vec{b}_2 + \dots + x_n\vec{b}_n = \vec{0}} \quad (16.2)$$

Therefore, $\{\vec{a}_1, \dots, \vec{a}_n\}$ is linearly independent if and only if $\{\vec{b}_1, \dots, \vec{b}_n\}$ is also linearly independent

Also, $\vec{b}_j$ ($j=1, \dots, n$) is linearly dependent on $\vec{b}_1, \dots, \vec{b}_{j-1}, \vec{b}_{j+1}, \dots, \vec{b}_n$ if and only if $\vec{a}_j$ is linearly dependent on $\vec{a}_1, \dots, \vec{a}_{j-1}, \vec{a}_{j+1}, \dots, \vec{a}_n$.

Moreover, the linear dependence relationship is identical in both cases, because the coefficients (or components of $\vec{x}$) are exactly the same in (16.1) and (16.2).

ii) If a subset B of the columns of A forms a basis for column A , then B is linearly independent and the corresponding subset $\tilde{B}$ of the columns of $\tilde{A}$ is also linearly independent from part (i).

Also, $\text{Span}(B) = \text{Col}(A)$ so then any linear combination including another vector of the columns of A will be linearly dependent.

From (i), this same property is true for the

columns of $\tilde{A}$. As a consequence

$$\text{Span}(\tilde{B}) = \text{Col}(\tilde{A}),$$

and $\tilde{B}$ is a basis for $\tilde{A}$.

(The reciprocal is also true
If $\tilde{B}$ is a basis for $\text{Col}(\tilde{A})$
 $\Rightarrow B$ is a basis for $\text{Col}(A)$.
identical proof.)

Proof of Theorem 6

"Pivot columns of a matrix A form a basis for $\text{Col}(A)$."

First, we consider the row-reduced matrix $\tilde{A}$

$$\tilde{A} = [\tilde{b}_1, \tilde{b}_2, \dots, \tilde{b}_n]$$

If $\tilde{b}_j$ is the first pivot column, then the next pivot column $\tilde{b}_p$ is linearly indep. with $\tilde{b}_j$ because it has its leading "1" in the row below to where $\tilde{b}_j$ has its leading "1".

$$\begin{bmatrix} \tilde{b}_j & & \tilde{b}_p \\ 0 & \dots & 0 \\ \vdots & & \vdots \\ 0 & & 0 \\ 1 & & 0 \\ 0 & & 1 \\ \vdots & & 0 \\ 0 & & 0 \end{bmatrix}$$

The next pivot column will also be linearly indep. with the preceding two columns. This process can be continued until there are not more pivot columns.

Then, the set obtained $\tilde{B} = \{\tilde{b}_j, \tilde{b}_p, \dots, \tilde{b}_q\}$ is linearly independent.

Moreover, any column in between is linearly dependent on the previous ones.

Therefore,

$$\text{Span}(\tilde{B}) = \text{Col}(\tilde{A}).$$

And $\tilde{B}$ is a basis for $\text{Col}(\tilde{A})$.

From the previous lemma 2, the corresponding

Set B of columns of A is also a basis for $\text{Col}(A)$. This set B is by definition ^{of pivot column} formed by the pivot columns of matrix A , which is what we want to prove.